
## Snapshot Report

NCES 2004-457WY8

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses mathematics in five content areas: number sense, properties, and operations; measurement; geometry and spatial sense; data analysis, statistics and probability; and algebra and functions. The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500.

## **Overall Mathematics Results for Wyoming**


- In 2003, the average scale score for eighth-grade students in Wyoming was 284. This was higher¹ than the average score in 2000 (276), and was higher than the average score in 1990 (272).
- Wyoming's average score (284) in 2003 was higher than that of the nation's public schools (276).
- Of the 53 states and jurisdictions<sup>2</sup> that participated in the 2003 eighth-grade assessment, students' average scale scores in Wyoming were higher than those in 29 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 18 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 5 jurisdictions.
- The percentage of students in Wyoming who performed at or above the NAEP Proficient level was 32 percent in 2003. This percentage was greater than that in 2000 (23 percent), and was greater than that in 1990 (19 percent).



Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Wyoming						
Reporting groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Percentage of students at			
			Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Male	53	284 1	24 ↓	42	29 1	5
Female	47	283 🕇	22 ↓	47	27 🕇	3
White	89	286 🕇	20 ↓	45	30 1	5
Black	1					
Hispanic	7 🕇	265	46	41	11	1
Asian/Pacific Islander	1					
American Indian/Alaska Native	3	261	52	34	13	1
Free/reduced-price school lunch						
Eligible .	27	271 🕇	38 ↓	44	17 🕇	1
Not eligible	72	288 🕇	18 ↓	45	32 🕇	5

## **Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups**

- In 2003, male students in Wyoming had an average score that was not found to be significantly different from that of female students. In 1990, male students had an average score that was higher than that of female students.
- The sample size was not sufficient to permit a reliable estimate for Black students in Wyoming.
- In 2003, White students had an average score that was higher than that of Hispanic students (20 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1990 (16 points).
- In 2003, students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that was higher than that of students who were eligible (17 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1996 (15 points).



An examination of scores at different percentiles on the 0–500 NAEP mathematics scale at each grade indicates how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels of the distribution performed.

- # The estimate rounds to zero.
- --- Reporting standards not met; sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
- \* Significantly different from 2003.
- ↑ Significantly higher than, ↓ lower than 2000.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1990, 1992, 1996, 2000, and 2003 Mathematics Assessments.

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited-English-proficient students in the NAEP samples and changes in sample sizes. NAEP sample sizes have increased in 2003 compared to previous years, resulting in smaller detectable differences than in previous assessments. ² "Jurisdictions" includes participating states and other jurisdictions (such as the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Dependents Schools). NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for Free/reduced-price lunch is not displayed. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Visit <a href="http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/">http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/</a> for additional results and detailed information.